

**ATTACHMENT E  
FY13 OPERATING EXPANSION REQUESTS**

DEPT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	JUSTIFICATION	One Time	Recurring	TOTAL PROJECT COST
IT	Organization Change Management, LLC will provide organizational impact assessment and tracking and business transformation services to the Deputy CIO, ERP Manager, ERP Business System Manager, ERP Project Manager, Deputy County Managers, Directors, and Steering Committee Chair and facilitate the completion of the organizational impact and other change related activities in support of ERP efforts	Would lose organization support on the Dashboard to Portal Phase II project, BW project, AP System and Process project, Housing Interface Phase II project, Bar-coding project and the SRM Upgrade project	\$ 45,185	\$ -	\$ 45,185
HOUSING	Four FTEs and 1 Term Position (Subsidy for Administrative Services)	The Bernalillo County General Fund funds four (4) full time employees and two (2) term with benefits. The amount requested excludes one (1) term with benefits as this position is not being filled at this time. These positions are funded from the general fund and posted to the Housing Department in order to meet the operating demands of the Housing Department.		\$ 264,261	\$ 264,261
	Low Income Public Housing - Seybold/LIPH (Subsidy)	Federal Funding is being cut while the need for services is being increased due to the low economic conditions. Without the expansion from general fund the Housing Department would not be able to operate as we would have a negative fund balance which is a HUD Federal Violation and could affect our funding even more.	\$ -	\$ 188,543	\$ 188,543
	Section 8 Voucher - HUD (Subsidy)	Federal Funding is being cut while the need for services is being increased due to the low economic conditions. Without the expansion from general fund the Housing Department would not be able to operate as we would have a negative fund balance which is a HUD Federal Violation and could affect our funding even more.	\$ -	\$ 147,085	\$ 147,085
	El Centro - New Construction (Subsidy)	Federal Funding is being cut while the need for services is being increased due to the low economic conditions. Without the expansion from general fund the Housing Department would not be able to operate as we would have a negative fund balance which is a HUD Federal Violation and could affect our funding even more.	\$ -	\$ 184,372	\$ 184,372

**ATTACHMENT E  
FY13 OPERATING EXPANSION REQUESTS**

DEPT	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	JUSTIFICATION	One Time	Recurring	TOTAL PROJECT COST
	COCC - Central Office (Subsidy)	Federal Funding is being cut while the need for services is being increased due to the low economic conditions. Without the expansion from general fund the Housing Department would not be able to operate as we would have a negative fund balance which is a HUD Federal Violation and could affect our funding even more.	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
<b>ACCOUNTING FIXED ASSETS</b>	Various Equipment for the Fixed Asset Section	For the purchase of a reciprocating saw that can be used in cutting scrap metal recycling; 1000lb scale to provide accurate weights to on-line auction customers and county recycling processes; Kill Disk software to wipe out hard drives in preparation for on-line auctioning; two 18" monitors for daily operations; Tilt Trailer (Jacksons) to transport the sections forklift to perform county-wide lifting operations; cardboard compactor to support the entire 2400 Broadway complex recycling effort.	\$ 19,143	\$ -	\$ 19,143
<b>MDC</b>	To conduct Polygraph and Psychological Tests for new recruits. \$325 for each psychological test and \$105 for each polygraph test.	MDC is requesting additional funding in order to perform both polygraphs and psychological testing on new correctional officer recruits. MDC currently performs extensive back ground checks that includes checking references and NCIS (federal database of criminal records); however, some issues or problems could still go undetected. By administering a polygraph test, MDC would have another tool available to assist in validating whether the applicant was truthful in filling out their personal information questionnaire. Using these tests would identify potential problem individuals before they are hired.		\$ 71,860	\$ 71,860
<b>INFRAST &amp; PLANNING</b>	Bid for Landfill Gas Project	In 2010 the City of Albuquerque was the grant recipient of \$500K from the Environmental Protection Agency for a landfill gas to methane project. As part of this project, the COA and the County entered into a MOU for sharing costs of delivering clean, dry landfill gas to MDC for use in heating the domestic hot water systems. The County agreed to purchase the boilers for the project, while the COA, would purchase all the equipment for cleaning the gas. The cost for the boilers is \$289K and the department currently has \$214K already committed. This expansion request is for the difference needed to purchase the boiler.	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
<b>GRAND TOTAL FOR OPERATING REQUESTS</b>			<b>\$ 139,328</b>	<b>\$ 886,121</b>	<b>\$ 1,025,449</b>



# DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

## SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>BCC Meeting Date:</b>	
<b>Agenda Item Title:</b>	Organization Change Management (OCM)
<b>Department:</b>	Information Technology
<b>Form Prepared By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Lisa LeMar
<b>Form Reviewed By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Priscilla Gutierrez, Financial Services Administrator IV
<b>BCC District:</b>	All

## SECTION 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW

OCM, LLC will provide organizational impact assessment and tracking and business transformation services to the Deputy CIO, ERP Manager, ERP Business System Manager, ERP Project Manager, Deputy County Managers, Directors, and Steering Committee Chair and facilitate the completion of the organizational impact and other change related activities in support of ERP efforts

## SECTION 3: REVENUE IMPACT

Funding Source	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Revenues</b>					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

**TOTAL REVENUES** \$ -

## SECTION 4: EXPENDITURE & STAFF IMPACT

Appropriation Description	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Organization Change Management (OCM)					-	45,185	45,185	-	-	\$ 90,370
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Expenditures</b>					-	45,185	45,185	-	-	\$ 90,370

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

Staff Position Title	Status*	New	Existing	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Staff Costs</b>				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*Status of Position enter FT = Full-Time Equivalent, PT = Part-Time FTE, T = Term

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES** \$ 90,370



## DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

### SECTION 5: NARRATIVE

#### EXISTING FUNDING, IN KIND, MATCHING FUNDS

Request new budget in FY13 for OCM services

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Request new budget in FY13 for OCM services

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

OCM, LLL will provide organizational impact assessment and tracking and business transformation services to the Deputy CIO, ERP Manager, ERP Business System Manager, ERP Project Manager, Deputy County Managers, Directors, and Steering Committee Chair and facilitate the completion of the organizational impact and other change related activities in support of ERP efforts

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Would lose organization support on the Dashboard to Portal Phase II project, BW project, AP System and Process project, Housing Interface Phase II project, Bar-coding project and the SRM Upgrade project

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

#### ALTERNATIVES



## DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

### SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>BCC Meeting Date:</b>	
<b>Agenda Item Title:</b>	Housing Department Request for General Funded Employees (4 FTE / 2 Term with benefits)
<b>Department:</b>	Housing Department
<b>Form Prepared By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Ruth Lott, Housing Administrator
<b>Form Reviewed By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Betty Valdez, Housing Director
<b>BCC District:</b>	

### SECTION 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Bernalillo County General Fund funds four (4) full time employees and two (2) term with benefits. The amount requested excludes one (1) term with benefits as this position is not being filled at this time. These positions are funded from the general fund and posted to the Housing Department in order to meet the operating demands of the Housing Department.

### SECTION 3: REVENUE IMPACT

Funding Source	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
General Fund Revenue (11001/330100)	x			x	-	232,838	232,838	232,838	232,838	\$ -
General Fund Revenue					-	31,423	31,423	31,423	31,423	\$ 931,352
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Revenues</b>					-	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>\$ 1,057,044</b>

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

**TOTAL REVENUES \$ 1,057,044**

### SECTION 4: EXPENDITURE & STAFF IMPACT

Appropriation Description	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Expenditures</b>					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

Staff Position Title	Status*	New	Existing	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Housing Administrator (FTE)			x	-	111,648	111,648	111,648	111,648	\$ 446,592
Administrative Assistant (FTE)			x	-	32,430	32,430	32,430	32,430	\$ 129,720
Housing Support Specialist (FTE)			x	-	47,696	47,696	47,696	47,696	\$ 190,784
Housing Program Assistant III (FTE)			x	-	41,064	41,064	41,064	41,064	\$ 164,256
Administrative Assistant - SR (Term - one position filled)			x	-	31,423	31,423	31,423	31,423	\$ 125,692
<b>Total Staff Costs</b>				-	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>264,261</b>	<b>\$ 1,057,044</b>

\*Status of Position enter FT = Full-Time Equivalent, PT = Part-Time FTE, T = Term

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$ 1,057,044**



## DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

### SECTION 5: NARRATIVE

#### EXISTING FUNDING, IN KIND, MATCHING FUNDS

The Housing Department receives funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), NM Finance Authority and Rental Income.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The Housing Department receives funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), NM Finance Authority and Rental Income but in order to maintain and pay for the operating services provided by to the community we need to request for expansion funding and for these general fund positions to be paid from the general fund. Without this funding source we would not be able to maintain the service level we are mandated by the United States Federal Government to provide.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

These funds are needed in order for the Housing Department to continue to support the public by offering home vouchers, home rehabilitation and public housing. The Housing Department is committed to provide safe and decent living conditions to our public.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

These funds will help the Housing Department to continue to provide public services in order to provide safe and decent living to our voucher holders, rehabilitation homes and the County owned public housing units.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Bernalillo County Housing Department is committed to provide the public with affordable, safe and decent living conditions for our voucher holders and our County owned public housing units. Without these services the Housing Department would not be able to serve as many people as we do and the conditions of our units would not be kept to the Federal standards required by law which would have a negative impact on the federal funding we receive for operations.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

HUD's federal mandate requires that the Housing Department must maintain our voucher submissions to the lesser of the amount of money received or the amount of vouchers awarded. In order to do this we are required by federal law to maintain and/or increase the services to meet this requirement. Without the funding from general fund we will be unable to meet the HUD requirement and would need to cut back on services which would be a federal mandated violation.

#### ALTERNATIVES

The alternative would be that the Housing Department is in violation of a federal mandate and that would affect the funding the Housing Department is receiving for operations which could result in further request from general fund to support the operations of the Housing Department.



# DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

## SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>BCC Meeting Date:</b>	
<b>Agenda Item Title:</b>	Housing Department Request for Expansion for Operations
<b>Department:</b>	Housing
<b>Form Prepared By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Ruth Lott, Housing Administrator
<b>Form Reviewed By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Betty Valdez, Housing Director
<b>BCC District:</b>	

## SECTION 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW

The Housing budget for FY2013 is in the amount of \$13,759,844 approved by Financial Resolution No. 2012-20. This amount included a transfer from General Fund for expansion in the amount of \$550,000. Each year the United States Federal Government approves a budget for the department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and in FY2010 we requested a increase in expansion of \$250,000 and then in January 2011 the Housing Department was awarded an additional \$250,000 in expansion as every Housing Department/Agency in the United States Administrative Federal Funding Sources were being cut by 13% to be funded only at 87% of their cost. In January 2012 the Housing Department was notified of another cut possibly to 76% - 78% of Administrative Federal Funding Sources which could result in additional request of funding from the general fund.

## SECTION 3: REVENUE IMPACT

Funding Source	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
General Fund Revenues	x			x		550,000	550,000	550,000	550,000	\$ 2,200,000
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Revenues</b>					-	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>\$ 2,200,000</b>

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

**TOTAL REVENUES \$ 2,200,000**

## SECTION 4: EXPENDITURE & STAFF IMPACT

Appropriation Description	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Low Income Public Housing - Seybold/LIPH					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
(21121/330501)	x		x		-	188,543	188,543	188,543	188,543	\$ 754,172
Section 8 Voucher - HUD					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
(12950/330300)	x		x		-	147,085	147,085	147,085	147,085	\$ 588,340
El Centro - New Construction					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
(21142/330401)	x		x		-	184,372	184,372	184,372	184,372	\$ 737,488
COCC - Central Office					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
(21101/330101)	x		x		-	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	\$ 120,000
<b>Total Expenditures</b>					-	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>2,200,000</b>

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget



## DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

Staff Position Title	Status*	New	Existing	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Non-applicable				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Staff Costs</b>				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*Status of Position enter FT = Full-Time Equivalent, PT = Part-Time FTE, T = Term

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES**     \$     **2,200,000**

### SECTION 5: NARRATIVE

#### EXISTING FUNDING, IN KIND, MATCHING FUNDS

The Housing Department receives funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), NM Finance Authority and Rental Income.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The Housing Department receives funding from the Department of Housing, NM Mortgage Finance Authority and Urban Development (HUD). Nationwide Housing agencies/departments are experiencing a decrease in awarded federal grant administrative operating funding while dealing with a increase in need for public services due to the low economic conditions. Each year, the Federal Government sets a budget and in FY11 the Bernalillo County Housing Department experienced a reduction of 13% in administrative operating funding from HUD. The Federal Government is in the process of reviewing their budget for FY12/FY13 and Housing agencies/department are anticipating another reduction in administrative operating funding by 11% - 13%. Without the expansion from general fund the Housing Department would not be able to operate as we would have a negative fund balance which is a HUD Federal Violation and could affect our funding even more and cause the Bernalillo County Housing Department to be troubled.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

These funds are needed in order for the Housing Department to continue to support the public by offering home vouchers, home rehabilitation and public housing. The Housing Department is committed to provide safe and decent living conditions to our public.

#### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

These funds will help the Housing Department to continue to provide public services in order to provide safe and decent living to our voucher holders, rehabilitation homes and the County owned public housing units.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

Bernalillo County Housing Department is committed to provide the public with affordable, safe and decent living conditions for our voucher holders and our County owned public housing units. Without these services the Housing Department would not be able to serve as many people as we do and the conditions of our units would not be kept to the Federal standards required by law which would have a negative impact on the federal funding we receive for operations.

#### OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

HUD's federal mandate requires that the Housing Department must maintain our voucher submissions to the lesser of the amount of money received or the amount of vouchers awarded. In order to do this we are required by federal law to maintain and/or increase the services to meet this requirement. Without the funding from general fund we be unable to meet the HUD requirement and would need to cut back on services which would be a federal mandated violation.

#### ALTERNATIVES

The alternative would be that the Housing Department is in violation of a federal mandate and that would affect the funding the Housing Department is receiving for operations which could result in further request from general fund to support the operations of the Housing Department.

**FY13/FY14 EXPANSION**

**ACCOUNTING**

<b>Estimated Cost</b>		<b>Description of Item needed in support of the county-wide operations</b>
<b>FY 2013</b>		
\$ 269.60	One Time	•Heat Guns (Grainger 4Z714) to support the vehicle decal removal operation
\$ 8,999.00	One Time	•John Deere ATV Truck Style to provide support the overall County-wide mission
<b>\$ 9,268.60</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>FY 2014</b>		
\$ 194.00	One Time	•Reciprocating saw to assist in scrap removal and Warehouse Operations
\$ 1,800.00	One Time	•1000 lb Scale (\$1800 - Includes Shipping) Weighing items for shipment or sale on Public Surplus
\$ 1,499.00	One Time	•Current software for wiping out computer hard drives to recycle (Kill Disk)
\$ 400.00	One Time	•Martin Gallegos duties require the use of two18"monitors
\$ 5,250.00	One Time	•Tilt Trailer (Jacksons) to provide support the overall County-wide mission
\$ 10,000.00	One Time	•Card Board Compactor
<b>\$ 19,143.00</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>



## DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM

### SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>BCC Meeting Date:</b>	
<b>Agenda Item Title:</b>	Polygraphs and Psychological Testing (Expansion Item #6)
<b>Department:</b>	Metropolitan Detention Center
<b>Form Prepared By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Greg Stricklin, Financial Administrator
<b>Form Reviewed By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Priscilla L. Gutierrez, Financial Services Administrator IV
<b>BCC District:</b>	All

### SECTION 2: PROJECT OVERVIEW

MDC is requesting additional funding in order to perform both polygraphs and psychological testing on new correctional officer recruits.

### SECTION 3: REVENUE IMPACT

Funding Source	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
None					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Revenues</b>					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

**TOTAL REVENUES**    \$                    -

### SECTION 4: EXPENDITURE & STAFF IMPACT

Appropriation Description	Recur	Non-Recur	New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Contractual Services	x		x		-	71,860	71,860	71,860	71,860	\$ 287,440
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
					-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Expenditures</b>					-	71,860	71,860	71,860	71,860	\$ 287,440

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

Staff Position Title	Status*	New	Existing	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Staff Costs</b>				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*Status of Position enter FT = Full-Time Equivalent, PT = Part-Time FTE, T = Term

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES**    \$                    287,440

**SECTION 5: NARRATIVE****EXISTING FUNDING, IN KIND, MATCHING FUNDS**

None.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

The cost assumes that MDC will have four Academy classes each year with an average of 30 cadets in each class. The cost of the psychological exam is \$325 per test and the cost per polygraph test is \$105. The cost per academy is estimated at \$17,965.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

All background checks, personal information questionnaires, and psychological tests are secured to protect the confidentiality of the information.

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

MDC currently performs extensive back ground checks that includes checking references and NCIS (federal database of criminal records); however, some issues or problems could still do go undetected. By administering a polygraph test, MDC would have another tool available to assist in validating whether the applicant was truthful in filling out their personal information questionnaire.

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

Tests would only be applied to new recruits with the exception of psychological tests that are currently being administered to those employees that carry weapons as part of their job duties.

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

Identifying potential problem individuals before they are hire could decrease the amount of management resources needed to address inmate related lawsuits and staff employment problems.

**ALTERNATIVES**

Continue current practice of not testing new recruits.



**DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM**

**SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION**

<b>BCC Meeting Date:</b>	May 22, 2012
<b>Agenda Item Title:</b>	Additional Funding for MDC Landfill Gas Project
<b>Department:</b>	Public Works, Infrastructure Planning and Geo Resource
<b>Form Prepared By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Mary Murnane, Water Resources and Energy Efficiency Program Manager
<b>Form Reviewed By (Name &amp; Title):</b>	Priscilla L. Gutierrez, Financial Services Administrator IV
<b>BCC District:</b>	

**SECTION 3: PROJECT OVERVIEW**

The City of Albuquerque (COA) was the recipient of \$500K in United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) grant funding for a landfill gas to methane project in 2010. As part of this project, the COA and the County entered into an agreement for sharing costs of delivering clean, dry landfill gas to the Metropolitan Detention Center (MDC) for use in heating the domestic hot water systems. The County agreed to purchase the boilers for the project, while the COA, would purchase all the equipment for cleaning the gas, would provide the pipeline and would undertake design, engineering, and financial management of the Project. The initial cost for the boilers for the MDC were developed in a feasibility study and were estimated at \$156K, and this funding, as well as some other costs was established in a project budget IP.2011.MDCLFG. However, when the project was bid in the winter of 2011, the cost for the boilers came back at \$226K. For the project to proceed, the County will need to increase its contribution for the cost of the boilers.

**SECTION 3: REVENUE IMPACT**

Funding Source	Recur	Non- New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Natural Gas Cost Avoidance	x		x			26,000	26,000	26,000	\$ 78,000
General Fund Revenue	x		x	-	75,000	-	-	-	\$ 75,000
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Revenues</b>				-	75,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	\$ 153,000

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

**TOTAL REVENUES \$ 153,000**

**SECTION 4: EXPENDITURE & STAFF IMPACT**

Appropriation Description	Recur	Non-Recur New*	Existing*	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
Additional General Funds for Boilers		x	x	-	75,000	-	-	-	\$ 75,000
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
				-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Expenditures</b>				-	75,000	-	-	-	\$ 75,000

\*New = New Funding Request/Not Currently Budgeted OR  
Existing = Funding Exists in the Budget

Staff Position Title	Status*	New Existin	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTALS
			-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
			-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
			-	-	-	-	-	\$ -
<b>Total Staff Costs</b>			-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

\*Status of Position enter FT = Full-Time Equivalent, PT = Part-Time FTE, T = Term

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$ 75,000**



**DEPARTMENTAL FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS FORM**

**SECTION 5: NARRATIVE**

**EXISTING FUNDING, IN KIND, MATCHING FUNDS**

Currently the project is budgeted at \$214K, which includes the estimated boiler cost plus some items that were agreed upon in the MOU. The EPA is providing \$500K, and the COA is providing approximately \$500K.

**FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

Water Resources has requested this funding increase. In order to assist with the cost increase, Water Resources did reduce other areas of the general fund request by approximately \$30K, so that the net impact to the general fund for the boilers would be \$45K.

**SIGNIFICANT ISSUES**

Without the boilers at the MDC, there is no project. The County and the COA have an agreement regarding the project, which would be voided if the County did not pay its costs as agreed upon. There would be no opportunity to save natural gas costs while utilizing a fuel that currently is burned off in the environment over the County.

**PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS**

The boilers will be "pre-heaters" to the existing boilers. They will be the primary heat source, but should there be an issue with them, the existing boilers will take over. Therefore, redundancy is built into the system.

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

**OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

**ALTERNATIVES**

The alternative is to not do the project, which would create fiscal issues with EPA, and political issues with COA.